

## **RatingsDirect**®

#### **Summary:**

### Clayton, Missouri; Appropriations; **General Obligation**

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Katelyn A Kerley, Centennial + 1 (303) 721 4683; katelyn.Kerley@spglobal.com

#### **Secondary Contact:**

Alexander Vargas, CFA, Chicago (1) 312-233-7093; alexander.vargas@spglobal.com

#### **Table Of Contents**

Rationale

Outlook

Related Research

#### **Summary:**

# Clayton, Missouri; Appropriations; General Obligation

#### **Credit Profile**

US\$12.67 mil spl oblig rfdg and imp bnds ser 2019 due 12/01/2029

Long Term Rating

AA+/Stable

New

#### Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA+' long-term rating to Clayton, Mo.'s series 2019 special obligation refunding and improvement bonds. At the same time, we affirmed our 'AAA' long-term rating on the city's general obligation debt (GO) and 'AA+' long-term rating on the city's outstanding appropriation debt. The outlook is stable.

Securing the series 2019 special obligation bonds are payments made by the city pursuant to an ordinance, subject to annual appropriation. Proceeds will be used to refund the city's series 2009B special obligation bonds and renovate the Center of Clayton Community Recreation Center.

We rate the city's appropriation-backed debt one notch lower than the GO rating to capture the risk associated with annual appropriations. We have not notched the ratings any further, as we see no unusual risks in the city's willingness to support the obligations, political or administrative risks regarding the corresponding projects, the obligor's involvement with the associated projects, or unusual terms that would disrupt timely payment.

The city's GO bonds are secured by revenue from ad valorem taxes, levied without limitation.

The city's GO bonds are eligible to be rated above the sovereign because we believe the city could maintain stronger credit characteristics than the U.S. in a stress scenario. Per our criteria "Ratings Above The Sovereign: Corporate And Government Ratings--Methodology And Assumptions," published Feb. 13, 2019 on RatingsDirect, the city's revenue is predominantly locally derived, with independent taxing authority and independent treasury management from the federal government.

With access to the broad and diverse St. Louis metropolitan statistical area (MSA), the city has benefited from strong economic growth, which, in conjunction with its strong financial management policies and practices, has supported stable operations and the maintenance of very strong reserves and liquidity.

The rating reflects our view of the city's:

- Very strong economy, with access to a broad and diverse metropolitan statistical area MSA;
- Very strong management, with strong financial policies and practices under our financial management assessment methodology;
- · Strong budgetary performance, with operating surpluses in the general fund and at the total governmental fund level

in fiscal 2018;

- Very strong budgetary flexibility, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2018 of 55% of operating expenditures;
- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash at 37.1% of total governmental fund expenditures and 2.9x governmental debt service, and access to external liquidity we consider strong;
- Strong debt and contingent liability position, with debt service carrying charges at 12.8% of expenditures and net direct debt that is 92.2% of total governmental fund revenue, as well as low overall net debt at less than 3.0% of market value and rapid amortization, with 72.0% of debt scheduled to be retired in 10 years; and
- · Adequate institutional framework score.

#### Very strong economy

We consider Clayton's economy very strong. The city, with an estimated population of 16,034, is located in St. Louis County in the St. Louis MSA, which we consider broad and diverse. The city has a projected per capita effective buying income of 181% of the national level and per capita market value of \$321,122. Overall, the city's market value grew by 19.5% over the past year to \$5.1 billion in 2020. The county unemployment rate was 3% in 2018.

Clayton serves an affluent community and is the seat of St. Louis County, eight miles west of the City of St. Louis. The city is home to a sizable corporate, financial, and legal district with an estimated 7 million square feet in office space and a daytime population of commuters nearly 3x the size of its habitual population.

Officials report that the city continues to see strong development with Centene Corp. (one of the area's largest employers) opening an office building and adding nearly 1,000 jobs, the planned opening of a hotel and senior living center, and construction of additional commercial and residential spaces. Although the city may see modest declines in AV after reassessment years as a result of property tax protests, we anticipate that the overall trend will remain largely positive given the area's continued development.

#### Very strong management

We view the city's management as very strong, with strong financial policies and practices under our financial management assessment methodology, indicating our view that financial practices are strong, well embedded, and likely sustainable.

Highlights of the city's financial management policies and practices include the use of both historical data as well as consultations with a number of outside sources to develop financial forecasts, quarterly reporting to the board on both budget-to-actual performance and investment holdings, maintenance of formal investment and debt management policies, maintenance of detailed long-term financial and capital improvement plans, and adherence to a formal reserve policy to maintain at least 25% of expenditures on hand. The city's reserve policy was designed to provide the city sufficient time to adjust operations to deal with unforeseen adversities and opportunities and to meet the city's cash flow needs. We believe the city's policy to maintain very strong reserves would provide the city with important liquidity in the event it ever suffered a natural disaster or emergency expense.

#### Strong budgetary performance

Clayton's budgetary performance is strong, in our opinion. The city had operating surpluses of 1.7% of expenditures in the general fund and of 1.6% across all governmental funds in fiscal 2018. General fund operating results of the city

have been stable over the past three years, with a result of 0.2% in 2017 and a result of 2.3% in 2016.

We note that we have made a number of adjustments to the city's financial data to better analyze its typical operations and facilitate comparisons with its peers. For instance, we have treated recurring transfers into and out of the general fund as revenue and expenditures, respectively, and we have adjusted for one-time capital expenditures funded by land sale proceeds and charitable donations. Consequently, we note that that the city has maintained surplus operations in its general fund and mixed but structurally sound operations across its total governmental funds in each of the past three audited years.

Officials estimate that the city will finish fiscal 2019 with a roughly \$16,000 operating surplus in the general fund. The 2020 budget features a modest general fund drawdown of \$293,000. Given officials' projections as well as strong financial management practices, we anticipate that the city will maintain strong operations in most years. Lending stability to the city's operations is the diversity of its revenue streams with the three largest being property taxes (28% of total governmental fund revenue), sales taxes (22%), and public utility licensees (16%).

#### Very strong budgetary flexibility

We note that the city's available reserves decreased modestly in fiscal 2018 given the reclassification of nearly \$1.5 million in unassigned reserves to nonspendable reserves. Despite the reclassification, Clayton's budgetary flexibility remains very strong, in our view, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2018 of 55% of operating expenditures, or \$15.9 million. We expect the available fund balance to remain above 30% of expenditures for the current and next fiscal years, which we view as a positive credit factor.

Given the strength of the city's reserves, as well as management's projections, we anticipate that the city will maintain very strong budgetary flexibility for the foreseeable future.

#### Very strong liquidity

In our opinion, Clayton's liquidity is very strong, with total government available cash at 37.1% of total governmental fund expenditures and 2.9x governmental debt service in 2018.

Our assessment of the city's liquidity accounts for investments with maturities of one year or less, but excludes unspent bond proceeds. In our view, the city has strong access to external liquidity if necessary, as demonstrated by a number of debt and lease issuances over the past 15 years. We do not believe that the city is party to any privately placed or direct purchase agreements that would pose a risk to its liquidity or finances. We anticipate that the city's liquidity position will remain very strong for the foreseeable future.

#### Strong debt and contingent liability profile

In our view, Clayton's debt and contingent liability profile is strong. Total governmental fund debt service is 12.8% of total governmental fund expenditures, and net direct debt is 92.2% of total governmental fund revenue. After this issuance and the refunding of the city's series 2009B bonds, the city will have roughly \$33.5 million in outstanding debt. Overall net debt is low at 1.9% of market value, and approximately 72.0% of the direct debt is scheduled to be repaid within 10 years, which are, in our view, positive credit factors.

The city plans to issue approximately \$10.2 million in additional debt in 2020, but we do not anticipate that this issuance will materially increase the city's debt burden.

Clayton's pension contributions totaled 4.5% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2018. The city made 104% of its annual required pension contribution.

The city maintains two single-employer, defined benefit pension plans. The City of Clayton Non-Uniformed Employees' Retirement Fund is 95.7% funded with an estimated liability of \$870,653, while the City of Clayton Uniformed Employees' Pension Fund is 94.7% funded with an estimated liability of \$2.5 million. Given that the plans are well funded and that contributions make up a comparatively small portion of the city's budget, we do not anticipate that pension obligations will pressure the city's finances within the next several years.

The city also provides other postemployment benefits in the form of medical and prescription drug coverage for eligible retirees, which it funds on a pay-as-you-go basis. The estimated liability totaled less than \$1.3 million under the plan, and contributions remain a very small portion of the city's budget.

#### Adequate institutional framework

The institutional framework score for Missouri municipalities is adequate.

#### Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that management will effectively manage the city's finances and maintain stable operations with very strong reserves and liquidity for the foreseeable future. Further stability is provided by the city's access to the broad and diverse St. Louis MSA. Consequently, we do not anticipate changing the rating within the next two years. If, however, the city's budgetary performance were to materially deteriorate and thereby weaken the city's budgetary flexibility or liquidity, we could consider lowering the rating.

#### Related Research

2018 Update Of Institutional Framework For U.S. Local Governments

Ratings Detail (As Of August 23, 2019)		
Clayton spl oblig bnds		
Long Term Rating	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Clayton spl oblig bnds		
Long Term Rating	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Clayton ICR		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
Clayton GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2019 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Ratingrelated publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.